

\$630,000 in Town Aid and One Vote Against It

Connecticut's 55th House District · The May 2, 2026 vote on SB 1 · How much new state aid the bill brings to Andover, Bolton, Glastonbury, Hebron, and Marlborough

A personal report by Scott Sauyet · scott@sauyet.com · Not an official town document

Methodology: This report combines three official public datasets — the roll-call PDF for House Vote 204 of the 2026 Connecticut General Assembly session (SB 1, “An Act Concerning Affordability”), the town-by-town FY 2027 town-aid figures released by Governor Lamont’s office and republished by Fox61, and the 2024 Statement of Vote published by the Connecticut Secretary of the State. The dollar totals for the four fully-contained towns of the 55th District (Andover, Hebron, Marlborough) plus partial-district allocations for Bolton and Glastonbury produce an estimated district-wide aid figure of roughly \$630,000. Bolton and Glastonbury are split between two House districts, so their figures are prorated using the 2024 turnout share that the 55th’s piece of each town contributed. This is an approximation: the K-12 portion of town aid is weighted by enrollment rather than population, but voter turnout is the best publicly-available proxy for the population split inside each town. All sources are cited in the Sources section below and linked inline.

INTRODUCTION

Overview

On Saturday, May 2, 2026, the Connecticut House of Representatives passed **Senate Bill 1, “An Act Concerning Affordability,”** by a vote of 127 to 21. The bill is the 2026 mid-biennium adjustment to the FY 2026–27 state budget. Among other things, it directs roughly \$270 million in new financial aid to Connecticut towns and cities — split between \$183 million to supplement K-12 school aid and \$100 million to supplement general municipal grants-in-aid.

Connecticut’s 55th House District covers Andover, Hebron, and Marlborough in their entirety, plus the southern portion of Bolton and an eastern section of Glastonbury (Voting District 4 — the Gideon Welles School area). The district has been represented by Republican Rep. Steve Weir since 2023.

Using the official town-by-town FY 2027 aid figures, this report estimates that **roughly \$630,000 in new state aid is headed to towns the 55th District represents** under SB 1. Rep. Weir voted against the bill. He was one of 21 No votes against 127 Yeses.

This report walks through three things: how much money each town gets, how the district’s voters are distributed across the five towns, and how Weir’s No vote fits within his caucus — most House Republicans on the day voted Yes.

Part 1 — The town aid in SB 1

SB 1 is the appropriations vehicle for four supplemental items in fiscal year 2027, totaling approximately \$413 million:

- **\$80 million** to fund a Medicaid deficiency
- **\$183 million** to supplement state assistance for K-12 public schools
- **\$100 million** to supplement general municipal grants-in-aid to towns
- **\$50 million** to supplement the Federal Cuts Response Fund

FY 2027 town aid for the 55th District towns ✓ *Confirmed from Lamont administration release*

The town-by-town figures below come from the FY 2027 town-aid breakdown released by the Governor’s office and republished town-by-town by Fox61. The columns are the K-12 ECS (“Education”) supplement and the general municipal grants-in-aid (“Town Aid”) supplement.

Town	Education	Town Aid	Total
Andover	\$80,192	\$17,751	\$97,943
Bolton	\$107,328	\$29,551	\$136,879
Glastonbury	\$268,692	\$76,932	\$345,624
Hebron	\$239,908	\$30,258	\$270,166
Marlborough	\$118,084	\$30,635	\$148,719

Notes: Bolton’s \$136,879 and Glastonbury’s \$345,624 are the *full town* totals. Both towns are split between two House districts, so the 55th District does not receive the entire amount. See Part 2 for the proration. Source: Fox61 — “\$270M state funding increase announced for CT towns; town-by-town breakdown” (May 2, 2026), republishing the Lamont administration release. Cross-checked against CT Mirror, “How much more state money will your town get?” (May 3, 2026).

Part 2 — Allocating the split-town aid to the 55th

Andover, Hebron, and Marlborough are entirely inside the 55th. Their full totals count toward the district. Bolton and Glastonbury require proration.

How the 55th’s piece of each town was sized

The cleanest publicly-available proxy for population split within a town is voter turnout in a recent contested election. The 2024 Statement of Vote published by the Connecticut Secretary of the State reports turnout polling-place by polling-place. Comparing the 55th’s piece of each split town to the town’s full turnout in a race everyone votes in (the U.S. Senate race) gives the share:

Town	55th’s turnout	Town total	Share
Bolton	1,701	3,100	~55%
Glastonbury	2,354	20,962	~11%

Notes: The 55th’s piece of Bolton voted in the Weir-vs-Veneziano race; the 8th’s piece of Bolton voted in the Ackert-vs-Hammarstrom race. Bolton’s full-town turnout in the 2024 U.S. Senate race (Murphy-vs-Corey) was 3,100. Glastonbury’s full-town Senate turnout was 20,962. Source: 2024 Statement of Vote, Connecticut Secretary of the State.

Estimated FY 2027 aid to the 55th District ✓ *Calculated from confirmed inputs*

Multiplying each split town’s full-town aid by the share above, and adding the three full-town totals, gives the district-wide estimate:

Town	Total aid	55th’s share	55th’s portion
Andover	\$97,943	100%	\$97,943
Bolton (south)	\$136,879	~55%	~\$75,283
Glastonbury (east)	\$345,624	~11%	~\$38,019
Hebron	\$270,166	100%	\$270,166
Marlborough	\$148,719	100%	\$148,719
Total to the 55th			~\$630,130

Notes: The K-12 ECS portion of each town’s total is allocated by the state based on student enrollment, so prorating it by voter turnout is approximate. A more precise figure would require school- attendance data showing which students live in which voting district within Bolton and Glastonbury — public information, but not conveniently aggregated. The general town-aid portion (column 2 in Part 1’s table) is closer to a per-capita allocation, where voter- turnout share is a reasonable proxy.

Part 3 — The vote

SB 1 passed the House on May 2, 2026 at 9:49 p.m., on Roll Call 204. The total: 127 Yea, 21 Nay, 3 absent and not voting (148 voting).

Republican caucus split on SB 1 ✓ *Confirmed from Roll Call 204 PDF*

The 49-member House Republican caucus split roughly in half on this vote. Reading from the official roll call:

Republicans voting Yea (28):

Ackert, Anderson, Aniskovich, Bolinsky, Bronko, Buchsbaum, Buckbee, Callahan, Canino, Carney, Carpino, Hall (C.), Howard, Hoxha, Kennedy, Klarides-Ditria, Lanoue, McGorty, Nuccio, Polletta, Rutigliano, Scott, Stewart, Vail, Yaccarino, Zawistowski. *(The roll call records 28 Republican Yea votes; the two beyond those named here were not individually identified from the roll-call PDF.)*

Republicans voting Nay (21):

Candelora (V., Minority Leader), Case, Courpas, Dauphinais, DeCaprio, Dubitsky, Fishbein, Foncello, Haines, Jensen, Marra, Mastrofrancesco, O’Dea, Piscopo, Reddington-Hughes, Romano, Veach, **Weir**, Zullo, Zupkus, plus Pavalock-D’Amato (recorded absent / not voting).

Notes: Pavalock-D’Amato is shown with an X (absent / not voting) on the roll call, so she is not formally a Nay vote, but is grouped here with Republicans who did not vote in support. The vote was not a party-line vote: a clear majority of Republicans on the floor voted Yes. Source: 2026HV-00204-R00SB00001-HV.PDF, Office of the House Clerk.

Rep. Weir’s vote ✓ *Confirmed from Roll Call 204 PDF*

Steve Weir (R, 55th) voted **Nay**. The N appears next to his name in the roll call, in the alphabetical block following V.

Summary

Question	Answer (with caveats)
What bill?	SB 1, "An Act Concerning Affordability," 2026 session
When did the House vote?	May 2, 2026, 9:49 p.m., Roll Call 204
What was the result?	Passed 127–21, with 3 absent or not voting
How did Rep. Weir vote?	Nay (against passage)
How did the rest of the Republican caucus vote?	Roughly split: 28 Yea, 21 Nay, 1 absent. A clear majority of Republicans voting on the day voted Yes.
How much new aid does SB 1 send to the 55th's towns?	Approximately \$630,000 (estimate; see Part 2 for proration methodology)
Is this the same vote as the immigration bill (SB 397)?	No. SB 397 is a separate 2026 bill on which Weir also voted no. SB 1 is the budget adjustment bill discussed in this report.

ANALYSIS

Key Observations

The aid is real, sizable, and town-specific. SB 1 directs roughly \$630,000 in new state aid to the five towns of the 55th District for fiscal year 2027. The bulk of it is K-12 school aid; the rest is general municipal grants-in-aid. Each town's share is a published number, not an estimate (Andover, Hebron, Marlborough). The Bolton and Glastonbury portions are prorated because both towns are split across two House districts.

The vote was not party-line. This is the single most important context for evaluating any Republican's No vote on SB 1. A clear majority of House Republicans on the floor — 28 of 49 voting Republicans — voted Yes. The minority leader voted No, which gave political cover to Republicans who wanted to oppose the bill, but it did not require it. Republicans on the Yes side included neighbors like Ackert (8th District, which contains north Bolton) and Aniskovich, Buchsbaum, Buckbee, and many others.

Weir was not a leadership No. Of the 21 Republican No votes, Candelora (the Minority Leader) is the most notable. Weir's No is a member-level vote, not a leadership signal.

HB 5032 was the original adjustment vehicle, but SB 1 is the bill that actually moved. HB 5032 (“An Act Adjusting the State Budget for the Biennium Ending June 30, 2027”) had a public hearing on February 23, 2026 but never advanced past committee. The substantive adjustments — including the \$100 million municipal aid supplement — were rolled into SB 1, which is why SB 1 carries both appropriations and policy language. There is no separate House roll-call vote on HB 5032.

Voting against a bill that funds your district is not, by itself, unusual. Members vote against bills that contain funding for their districts when they object to other parts of the bill or to the overall fiscal posture. SB 1 is a large package with many components: tax credit changes, hospital tax restructuring, free school breakfast funding, a sales tax break for school supplies, and the Federal Cuts Response Fund. A reader interested in why Weir voted No would need to look at his public statements explaining the vote — this report makes no claim about his reasoning.

CAVEATS

What this report does not show

- **Why Rep. Weir voted no.** This report documents the vote and the fiscal stakes, not the legislator’s reasoning. Public statements from Rep. Weir or House Republican leadership explaining the No votes would be the place to look for that.
- **The exact dollar amount to each town within Bolton and Glastonbury.** The split-town figures are voter-share approximations. The state’s ECS allocation formula is enrollment-based, not population-based, so the actual dollars going to families in the 55th’s piece of each town could differ from the prorated number — though probably not by much.
- **The Senate vote on SB 1.** SB 1 originated in the Senate and passed there earlier the same day. This report focuses on the House vote because that is the chamber Rep. Weir sits in.
- **Other bills in the 2026 session.** SB 397 (the immigration bill that earlier triggered this research) is a different bill with a different vote and is not part of this analysis.
- **Two-year totals.** The town-aid figures here are for fiscal year 2027 only — the year SB 1 supplements. Annual aid figures will recur and be subject to future adjustments.

DOCUMENTATION

Sources

Primary sources (Connecticut General Assembly)

- **SB 1 bill status page (2026 session)** (https://www.cga.ct.gov/asp/cgabillstatus/cgabillstatus.asp?selBillType=Bill&bill_num=SB1&which_year=2026)

- [HB 5032 bill status page \(2026 session\)](https://www.cga.ct.gov/asp/cgabillstatus/cgabillstatus.asp?selBillType=Bill&bill_num=HB5032&which_year=2026) (https://www.cga.ct.gov/asp/cgabillstatus/cgabillstatus.asp?selBillType=Bill&bill_num=HB5032&which_year=2026)
- [House Roll Call 204 — SB 1 final passage, May 2, 2026 \(PDF\)](https://www.cga.ct.gov/2026/VOTE/H/PDF/2026HV-00204-R00SB00001-HV.PDF) (https://www.cga.ct.gov/2026/VOTE/H/PDF/2026HV-00204-R00SB00001-HV.PDF)
- [House Journal, May 2, 2026 \(PDF\)](https://www.cga.ct.gov/2026/jnl/h/pdf/2026HJL00502-R00-JNL.PDF) (https://www.cga.ct.gov/2026/jnl/h/pdf/2026HJL00502-R00-JNL.PDF)
- [Senate Journal, May 2, 2026 \(PDF\)](https://www.cga.ct.gov/2026/jnl/s/pdf/2026SJL00502-R00-JNL.PDF) (https://www.cga.ct.gov/2026/jnl/s/pdf/2026SJL00502-R00-JNL.PDF)

Town aid figures (Lamont administration release)

- [Fox61 — “\\$270M state funding increase announced for CT towns” \(May 2, 2026\)](https://www.fox61.com/article/news/local/270m-state-funding-increase-announced-for-ct-towns-town-by-town-breakdown/520-9b21cb50-a7cd-4474-8338-5c831fadeedb) (https://www.fox61.com/article/news/local/270m-state-funding-increase-announced-for-ct-towns-town-by-town-breakdown/520-9b21cb50-a7cd-4474-8338-5c831fadeedb)

News coverage

- [CT Mirror — “CT legislature adopts \\$28B budget with big aid for towns, childcare” \(May 2, 2026\)](https://ctmirror.org/2026/05/02/lawmakers-to-adopt-28-1b-budget-with-big-aid-for-towns-childcare/) (https://ctmirror.org/2026/05/02/lawmakers-to-adopt-28-1b-budget-with-big-aid-for-towns-childcare/)
- [CT Mirror — “How much more state money will your town get?” \(May 3, 2026\)](https://ctmirror.org/2026/05/03/ct-town-aid-how-much-new-budget/) (https://ctmirror.org/2026/05/03/ct-town-aid-how-much-new-budget/)

Election data (Connecticut Secretary of the State)

- [2024 Statement of Vote \(PDF\)](https://portal.ct.gov/-/media/sots/electionservices/statementofvote_pdfs/2024_statement_of_vote.pdf?rev=65d3101748fe4a4788e746a1cb651968&hash=C90841418D8BB4517705495F605CFD73) (https://portal.ct.gov/-/media/sots/electionservices/statementofvote_pdfs/2024_statement_of_vote.pdf?rev=65d3101748fe4a4788e746a1cb651968&hash=C90841418D8BB4517705495F605CFD73)
- [Statement of Vote archive \(1922 onward\)](https://portal.ct.gov/sots/election-services/statement-of-vote-pdfs/general-elections-statement-of-vote-1922) (https://portal.ct.gov/sots/election-services/statement-of-vote-pdfs/general-elections-statement-of-vote-1922)

DOWNLOAD

Other Formats

This report is available in three formats, all located alongside this page:



HTML

Interactive version with formatted tables; best for on-screen reading and sharing.



Markdown

Plain-text version; readable in any editor, ideal for copying into other documents.



PDF

Print-ready version with all tables, footnotes, and source citations.

Personal work of Scott Sauyet scott@sauyet.com · Independent civic reference, not official communication of the Town of Andover, Region 8 (RHAM), or any party or town committee, and not legal advice · Corrections welcome

Compiled May 4, 2026 · Data from the Connecticut General Assembly, the Office of the Secretary of the State, and the Lamont administration FY 2027 town-aid release.